

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

DAVID TYRONE HILL,

Petitioner,

-VS-

KEVIN SMITH, Warden,

Respondent.

Case No. 1:11CV307

ORDER

Judge Christopher A. Boyko

On February 11, 2011, Petitioner filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Dkt. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Baughman, Jr. pursuant to Local Rule 72.2. On January 17, 2012, the Magistrate Judge recommended that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Petitioner's Application for Habeas Corpus as time-barred (Dkt.#17) be granted, and Petitioner's Petition be dismissed (Dkt. #21).

FED. R. CIV.P. 72(b) provides that objections to a Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen (14) days after service, but Petitioner has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that Petitioner is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, Magistrate Judge Baughman's Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**, Respondent's Motion to Dismiss as time-barred is granted, and Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (Dkt. # 1) is **DISMISSED**. Furthermore, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:2/7/2012

S/Christopher A. Boyko
CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE